

## Stat 371-003, Solutions to Homework #8

1. “There’s a 95% chance that the true effect is between  $-4.5$  and  $-9.3$  mm Hg.”

**No.** The true effect is some fixed but unknown value; we can’t talk about probability. In advance, the investigators had a 95% chance of getting a confidence interval that contained the true effect; after the fact, the interval either contains the true effect or doesn’t.

2. “There’s a 99.1% chance that the treatment affects blood pressure.”

**No.** The P-value is the chance of getting data as or more extreme than was observed *if the null hypothesis (no treatment effect) were true*. We can’t talk about the chance that the treatment has an effect.

### 3. 10.5 (pg 400)

The expected counts are 106.875, 35.625, 35.625, 11.875.

The  $\chi^2$  statistic is

$$\frac{(111 - 106.875)^2}{106.875} + \frac{(37 - 35.625)^2}{35.625} + \frac{(34 - 35.625)^2}{35.625} + \frac{(8 - 11.875)^2}{11.875} \approx 1.55$$

Comparing this to the  $\chi^2$  distribution with 3 degrees of freedom (Table 9, pg 686), we find that the P-value is  $> 0.2$ , and so we fail to reject the null hypothesis and conclude that the data conform reasonably well to the proportions 9:3:3:1.

In R, we could calculate the P-value with `1 - pchisq(1.55, 3)  $\approx$  0.67`.

Alternatively, we could type

```
ob <- c(111, 37, 34, 8)
chisq.test(ob, p=c(9/16, 3/16, 3/16, 1/16))
```

### 4. 10.26 (pg 412)

The expected counts are

		Treatment	
		Ancrod	Placebo
Hemorrhage?	Yes	8.93	9.07
	No	239.07	242.93
Total		248	252

The  $\chi^2$  statistic is

$$\frac{(13 - 8.93)^2}{8.93} + \frac{(5 - 9.07)^2}{9.07} + \frac{(235 - 239.07)^2}{239.07} + \frac{(247 - 242.93)^2}{242.93} \approx 3.82$$

Comparing this to the  $\chi^2$  distribution with 1 degree of freedom (Table 9, pg 686), we see that the P-value is between 0.05 and 0.10. At  $\alpha = 0.05$ , we would fail to reject the null hypothesis and conclude that there is insufficient evidence to show that there is a difference in the frequency of hemorrhage between the two groups. **But it's really close!**

In R, we would calculate the P-value with `1-pchisq(3.82, 1)  $\approx$  0.051`.

Or we could do the whole thing with:

```
tab <- rbind( c(13,5), c(235, 247) )
chisq.test(tab)
```

## 5. 10.46 (pgs 428)

We create a table with the data as follows:

```
tab <- rbind( c(2,21), c(8, 16) )
```

Note that the second row contains the numbers of individuals with low serum levels (not the totals)!

### (a) $\chi^2$ test

`chisq.test(tab)` gives a P-value of **0.088**. This is based on a “continuity correction”.

The vanilla version of the  $\chi^2$  test (as we’ve discussed in class) could be performed via `chisq.test(tab, correct=FALSE)` and gives a P-value of **0.039**.

### (b) Fisher’s exact test

`fisher.test(tab)` gives a p-value of **0.072**.

With either test, we find that we have insufficient evidence to conclude that the two therapies differ in effectiveness.